

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335568432>

# New connections on the fiber-bundle of generalized statistical manifolds

Article · September 2019

CITATION

1

READS

294

4 authors, including:



[Amour Gbaguidi Amoussou](#)

Institute of Mathematics and Physics

10 PUBLICATIONS 5 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Mamadou Abdoul Diop](#)

Gaston Berger University, Saint-Louis

59 PUBLICATIONS 286 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



A Skorohod problem driven by Clarke subdifferential and applications to Stochastic Integrodifferential equations [View project](#)



Optimal Control project [View project](#)

# New connections on the fiber-bundle of generalized statistical manifolds

A. Gbaguidi Amoussou, F. Djibril Moussa, C. Ogouyandjou, M. A. Diop

**Abstract.** In this paper we construct a family of  $\alpha$ -connections on a fiber-bundle of a generalized statistical manifold. We prove that the exponential and mixture connections are curvature-free and we investigate the associated parallel transport.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53C05, 53C21, 55R10.

**Key words:** Riemannian manifold; generalized statistical manifold; fiber-bundle;  $\alpha$ -connection; parallel transport.

## 1 Introduction

Information geometry investigates the differential-geometric structure of statistical models and has many applications in statistical inference or machine learning for example (see [4]). Since the seminal work of Rao[14] where Fisher information is viewed as a Riemannian metric on a probability distributions space, statistical manifolds have been widely studied. The Fisher information metric on statistical manifold is related to the Kullback-Leibler divergence which is a measure of dissimilarity between two probability distributions. Considering a family of  $\alpha$ -divergences, Amari[2] proposed a family of  $\alpha$ -connections on statistical manifolds. To elucidate the structures and properties of estimating functions, Amari and Kumon[3] constructed a family of  $\alpha$ -connections on Hilbert bundle of statistical manifold, endowed with the Fisher information metric. Vigelis et al.[17] introduced a new metric and  $\alpha$ -connections using  $u_0$ -mappings (or  $\varphi$ -functions), which generalize Fisher information metric and Amari's  $\alpha$ -connections. The obtained geometric structure is called a generalized statistical manifold. Recently, de Andrade et al.[5] investigated the mixture and exponential arcs on generalized statistical manifold.

In this paper, we extend the results of Vigelis et al.[17] by defining a new family of  $\alpha$ -connections on Hilbert bundle of generalized statistical manifold. We prove that the curvatures of our proposed (1)-connection and  $(-1)$ -connection vanish everywhere. Moreover, we give the  $\alpha$ -parallel transport associated with  $\alpha$ -connection for  $\alpha = \pm 1$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the relevant concepts related to generalized statistical manifold. In section 3 we introduce our new  $\alpha$ -connection on Hilbert bundle of generalized statistical manifold and prove the mains results.

## 2 Generalized statistical manifolds and $\alpha$ -connections

In this section, we recall some useful definitions and properties related to generalized statistic manifolds (see[17, 10, 16]). Let  $(\chi, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $P_\mu = \{p \in L^0 : p > 0, \int_\chi p(x; \theta) d\mu(x) = 1\}$  where  $L^0$  denotes the set of all real-valued, measurable functions on  $\chi$ .

**Definition 2.1.** (see[17]) Let  $u_0 : \chi \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function. A function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is said to be a  $u_0$ -mapping if :

- $\phi$  is convex,
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \phi(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \infty$ ,
- for all measurable function  $c : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\int_\chi \phi(c(x)) d\mu(x) = 1$ , we have  $\int_\chi \phi(c(x) + \lambda u_0(x)) d\mu(x) < \infty$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Example 2.2.** The function  $\phi$  defined by

$$\phi(x) = \exp(ax + b), a \in (0; \infty), b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a  $1_\chi$ -mapping.

**Example 2.3.** (see[16]) The Kaniadakis'  $\kappa$ -exponential  $\exp_\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by

- for  $\kappa = 0$ ,  $\exp_\kappa$  is the usual exponential map,
- for  $\kappa \in [-1, 0] \cup ]0, 1]$ ,  $\exp_\kappa(x) = (\kappa x + \sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 x^2})^{1/\kappa}$

is a  $u_0$ -mapping where  $u_0$  satisfies  $\int_\chi \exp_\kappa(u_0) d\mu < +\infty$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (see [17]) Let  $\phi$  be a smooth  $u_0$ -mapping. A generalized statistical manifold is a family of probability distributions

$$M = \{p(\cdot; \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} \subset P_\mu$$

such that:

1.  $\Theta$  is an open and connected set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
2. Each  $p(\cdot, \theta)$  is given in terms of  $\theta \in \Theta$  by a one to one mapping.
3. Every function  $p(x; \cdot)$  is smooth for all  $x$  and the operations of integration with respect to  $\mu$  and differentiation with respect to  $\theta^i$  (i.e.  $\partial/\partial\theta^i$ ) are always commutative.
4. The support of  $p(\cdot, \theta)$  does not depend on  $\theta$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

5. The matrix  $g = (g_{ij})$ , which is defined by

$$g_{ij} = -\mathbb{E}'_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^i \partial \theta^j} \right]$$

is positive definite at each  $\theta \in \Theta$ , where  $f_{\theta}(\cdot) = \phi^{-1}(p(\cdot; \theta))$  and

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E}'_{\theta} [\cdot] = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}} (\cdot) \phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta}) d\mu}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0 \phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta}) d\mu}.$$

$g_{ij}$  is invariant under reparametrization. When  $\phi$  is the usual exponential function and  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $g$  is the Fisher information matrix.

**Lemma 2.1.** [17] For  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\theta \in M$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}'_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^i} \right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ij} = \mathbb{E}''_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^i} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^j} \right],$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{E}''_{\theta} [\cdot] = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}} (\cdot) \phi^{(2)}(f_{\theta}) d\mu}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0 \phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta}) d\mu}.$$

Let  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial \theta^i$  be the tangent vector of the  $i$ -th coordinate curve  $\theta^i$  at the point  $\theta$ . Then,  $n$  such tangent vectors  $\partial_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , span the tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}M$  at the point  $\theta$  of the manifold  $M$ . Any tangent vector  $A \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}M$  is a linear combination of the basis vectors  $\partial_i$ ,

$$A = A^i \partial_i,$$

where  $A^i$  are the components of vector  $A$  and Einstein's summation convention is assumed throughout the paper. The tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}M$  is a linearized version of a small neighborhood of  $\theta$  in  $M$  and an infinitesimal vector  $d\theta = d\theta^i \partial_i$  denotes the vector connecting two neighboring points  $\theta$  and  $\theta + d\theta$  or two neighboring distributions  $p(\cdot, \theta)$  and  $p(\cdot, \theta + d\theta)$ . Let us introduce a metric in the tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}M$ . It can be done by defining the inner product of two basis vectors  $\partial_i$  and  $\partial_j$ . Usually the vector  $\partial_i \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}M$  is represented by a function  $\partial_i f_{\theta}$  and the metric is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad g_{ij}(\theta) = g(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \langle \partial_i f_{\theta}, \partial_j f_{\theta} \rangle = \mathbb{E}''_{\theta} [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta}], \forall \theta \in M$$

The  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative (see [17]) of the basis vector  $\partial_j$  in the direction  $\partial_i$  is

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle = \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)},$$

where

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(1)} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(-1)},$$

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(1)} = \mathbb{E}''_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^i \partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^k} \right] - \mathbb{E}'_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^i \partial \theta^j} \right] \mathbb{E}''_{\theta} \left[ u_0 \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^k} \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(-1)} &= \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f_\theta}{\partial \theta^i \partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^k} \right] + \mathbb{E}_\theta''' \left[ \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^i} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^k} \right] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^k} \right] \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ u_0 \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^i} \right] - \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^i} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^k} \right] \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ u_0 \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \theta^j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta''' [\cdot] = \frac{\int_\chi (\cdot) \phi^{(3)}(f_\theta) d\mu}{\int_\chi u_0 \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) d\mu}.$$

### 3 The Hilbert bundle of a generalized statistical manifold

#### 3.1 Hilbert bundle

Let  $\Upsilon$  be the set of  $\mu$ -integrable and smooth functions  $r$  defined from  $\chi$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a smooth and bijective  $u_0$ -mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $\forall (\theta, x) \in M \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi^{(1)}(x) \neq 0$  and  $M = \{p(\cdot; \theta); \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\}$  a generalized statistical manifold endowed with the Riemannian metric  $g$  defined by (2.3) and parametrized by  $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$ . To each point  $\theta \in M$ , we associate a linear space  $H_\theta$  defined by

$$H_\theta = \{r \in \Upsilon : \mathbb{E}_\theta'[r] = 0, \mathbb{E}_\theta''[r^2] < +\infty\},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_\theta'$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\theta''$  are respectively defined by relations (2.1) and (2.2). Throughout this paper we assume that  $\mathbb{E}_\theta''[(\partial_i f_\theta)^2] < \infty$  and for all  $(\theta, \theta') \in M^2$ ,  $r \in H_\theta$

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta''[r^2] < +\infty \implies \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ r^2 \left( \frac{\phi'(f_\theta)}{\phi'(f_{\theta'})} \right)^2 \right] < +\infty.$$

For each  $\theta \in M$  and  $r, s \in H_\theta$  we set

$$(3.1) \quad \langle r, s \rangle_\theta := \mathbb{E}_\theta''[rs].$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *For all  $\theta \in M$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\theta$  is an inner product and  $(H_\theta, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\theta)$  is a Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in M$ .  $H_\theta$  is a vector space and the map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\theta$  defined by (3.1) is a positive definite bilinear form, then it is an inner product on  $H_\theta$ . Using the Walter's proof (see [18]) of completeness of the set of measurable and square integrable functions, one proves the completeness of  $H_\theta$ .  $\square$

Since the tangent vectors  $\partial_i f_\theta(x)$ , which span  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$ , satisfy  $\mathbb{E}_\theta'[\partial_i f_\theta] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\theta''[(\partial_i f_\theta)^2] < +\infty$ , they belong to  $H_\theta$ . Indeed, the tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  of  $M$  at  $\theta$  is a linear subspace of  $H_\theta$ , and the inner product defined in  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  is compatible with that in  $H_\theta$ . Let  $N_\theta$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  in  $H_\theta$ . Then,  $H_\theta$  is decomposed into the direct sum

$$H_\theta = \mathbb{T}_\theta M \oplus N_\theta.$$

The aggregate of all  $H_\theta$ 's attached to every  $\theta \in M$  with a suitable topology,

$$H = \cup_{\theta \in M} H_\theta,$$

is called the fiber-bundle with base space  $M$ . Since the fiber space is a Hilbert space, it is called a Hilbert bundle of  $M$ . It should be noted that  $H_\theta$  and  $H_{\theta'}$  are different Hilbert spaces when  $\theta \neq \theta'$ .

Hence it is convenient to establish a one-to-one correspondence between  $H_\theta$  and  $H_{\theta'}$ , when  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are neighboring points in  $M$ . When the correspondence is affine, it is called an affine connection. Let us assume that a vector  $r \in H_\theta$  at  $\theta$  corresponds to  $r + dr \in H_{\theta+d\theta}$  at a neighboring points  $\theta + d\theta$ , where  $d$  denotes infinitesimally small change.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\theta \in M$  and  $r \in H_\theta$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}'_\theta[dr] = -\mathbb{E}''_\theta[\partial_i f_\theta r] d\theta^i + o(\|d\theta\|).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in M$  and  $r \in H_\theta$ . Then  $r + dr \in H_{\theta+d\theta}$ . Set  $\Phi_x(\theta) = \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta(x))$ ,  $x \in \chi$ . The function  $\Phi_x$  is differentiable on  $\Theta$ . Then by Taylor expansion of the function  $\theta \mapsto \Phi_x(\theta + d\theta)$  we obtain

$$\Phi_x(\theta + d\theta) = \Phi_x(\theta) + d_\theta \Phi_x(d\theta) + o(\|d\theta\|)$$

where  $d_\theta \Phi_x$  denotes the differential of  $\Phi_x$  at  $\theta$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} r + dr \in H_{\theta+d\theta} &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}'_{\theta+d\theta}[r + dr] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \int_\chi [r(x) + dr(x)] \Phi_x(\theta + d\theta) d\mu(x) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \int_\chi [r(x) + dr(x)] (\Phi_x(\theta) + d_\theta \Phi_x(d\theta) + o(\|d\theta\|)) d\mu(x) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}'_\theta[dr] + \mathbb{E}''_\theta[r \partial_i f_\theta] d\theta^i \\ (3.2) \quad &+ o(\|d\theta\|) \int_\chi [r(x) + dr(x)] d\mu(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\int_\chi |r(x) + dr(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty$  and (3.2) we deduce  $\mathbb{E}'_\theta[dr] = -\mathbb{E}''_\theta[\partial_i f_\theta r] d\theta^i + o(\|d\theta\|)$ .  $\square$

Next, we construct the  $\alpha$ -connections on Hilbert bundle.

### 3.2 $\alpha$ -connections on Hilbert bundles

Given  $r \in H_\theta$ , differentiating the identity  $\mathbb{E}'_\theta[r] = 0$  with respect to  $\theta$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] = -\mathbb{E}''_\theta[\partial_i f_\theta r]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}'[r] = \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i f_\theta] = 0$ . Set

$$dr = \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] u_0 d\theta^i - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \partial_i f_\theta r - \mathbb{E}''_\theta[u_0 \partial_i f_\theta] r \right] d\theta^i.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}'_\theta[dr] &= \mathbb{E}' \left[ \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] u_0 d\theta^i - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \partial_i f_\theta r d\theta^i \right] \\
 &= \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] d\theta^i - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}' \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \partial_i f_\theta r d\theta^i \right] \\
 (3.3) \quad &= \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] d\theta^i - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'' [\partial_i f_\theta r] d\theta^i \\
 &= -\mathbb{E}'' [\partial_i f_\theta r] d\theta^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

The  $\alpha$ -connection is given by the following  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative  $\bar{\nabla}^{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $r$  be a vector field, which attaches a vector  $r(\cdot, \theta)$  to every point  $\theta \in M$ . Then, the rate of the intrinsic change of the vector  $r(\cdot, \theta)$  as  $\theta$  changes in the direction  $\partial_i$  is given by the  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative:

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} r = \partial_i r - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] u_0 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \partial_i f_\theta r - \mathbb{E}''_\theta[u_0 \partial_i f_\theta] r \right].$$

This is a generalization of the  $\alpha$ -connection studied by Amari[1]. We have  $\mathbb{E}'_\theta[\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} r] = 0$  because of the identity  $\partial_i \mathbb{E}'_\theta[r] = \mathbb{E}'_\theta[\partial_i r] + \mathbb{E}''_\theta[\partial_i f_\theta r]$ . The  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative in the direction  $A = A^i \partial_i \in \mathbb{T}_\theta M$  is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_A^{(\alpha)} r = A^i \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} r.$$

The 1-connection is called the exponential connection and the  $-1$ -connection is called the mixture connection.

For each point  $\theta \in M$ , the tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  is a subset of the Hilbert space  $H_\theta$ . Hence the tangent bundle of  $M$ ,

$$\mathbb{T}M = \cup_{\theta \in M} \mathbb{T}_\theta M,$$

is a subset of  $H$ . We can define an affine connection in  $\mathbb{T}M$  by introducing an affine correspondence between  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta'} M$  for neighboring points  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$ .

An affine connection given such that  $r \in H_\theta$  corresponds to  $r + dr \in H_{\theta+d\theta}$ , induces an affine connection in  $\mathbb{T}_\theta M$  such that  $r \in \mathbb{T}_\theta M \subset H_\theta$  corresponds to the orthogonal projection of  $r + dr \in H_{\theta+d\theta}$  onto  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta+d\theta} M$ .

### 3.3 Parallel transport on Hilbert bundles

We start this subsection by the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $c = \{c(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a curve in  $M$ . A vector field  $r(\cdot, t) \in H_{c(t)}$  defined along the curve  $c$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -parallel, when

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{c}}^{(\alpha)} r = \dot{r} - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \mathbb{E}'_c[\dot{r}] u_0 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_c)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_c)} \dot{f}_c r - \mathbb{E}''_c[u_0 \dot{f}_c] r \right] = 0,$$

where  $\dot{r}$  denotes  $\partial r / \partial t$ , etc.

**Definition 3.2.** A vector  $r_1(\cdot) \in H_{\theta_1}$  is the  $\alpha$ -parallel transport of  $r_0(\cdot) \in H_{\theta_0}$  along a curve  $c = \{c(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$  connecting  $\theta_0 = c(0)$  and  $\theta_1 = c(1)$ , when  $r_0(\cdot) = r(\cdot, 0)$  and  $r_1(\cdot) = r(\cdot, 1)$  in the solution  $r(\cdot, \cdot)$  of (3.5).

Generally, the parallel transport along a curve  $c$  connecting  $\theta$  to  $\theta'$  depends on  $c$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** (see [12]) For an affine connection, parallel transport is independent of the path if and only if the curvature tensor vanishes.

Now, we investigate the  $e$ - and  $m$ -parallel transport operators from  $H_\theta$  to  $H_{\theta'}$ , for  $(\theta, \theta') \in M^2$ . Then we can prove the following important theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  ${}^{(e)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'}$  and  ${}^{(m)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'}$  be the  $e$ - and  $m$ -parallel transport operators from  $H_\theta$  to  $H_{\theta'}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(e)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'} r(x) &= r(x) - \mathbb{E}'_{\theta'}[r]u_0(x), \\ {}^{(m)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'} r(x) &= \frac{r(x)\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta(x)) \int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta'})d\mu}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta'}(x)) \int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)d\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $c = \{c(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a curve connecting two points  $\theta = c(0)$  and  $\theta' = c(1)$ . Let  $r^{(\alpha)}(x, t)$  be an  $\alpha$ -parallel transport vector defined along the curve  $c$ . Then, it satisfies (3.5). When  $\alpha = 1$ , it is reduced to

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\dot{r}^{(e)}(x, t)}{u_0(x)} = \mathbb{E}'_{c(t)} \left[ \dot{r}^{(e)}(\cdot, t) \right].$$

Since the right-hand side

$$\mathbb{E}'_{c(t)} \left[ \dot{r}^{(e)}(\cdot, t) \right] = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}} \dot{r}^{(e)}(x, t)\phi^{(1)}(f_{c(t)}(x)) d\mu(x)}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0(x)\phi^{(1)}(f_{c(t)}(x)) d\mu(x)}$$

of (3.6) does not depend on  $x$ , its solution (with the initial condition  $r(x) = r^{(e)}(x, 0)$ ) is given by

$$r^{(e)}(x, t) = r(x) + a(t)u_0(x)$$

where

$$a(t) = -\mathbb{E}'_{c(t)}[r].$$

Then

$${}^{(e)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'} r(x) = r(x) - \mathbb{E}'_{\theta'}[r]u_0(x).$$

When  $\alpha = -1$ , (3.5) is reduced to

$$\dot{r}^{(m)}(x, t) + \left\{ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_{c(t)}(x))}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{c(t)}(x))} \dot{f}_{c(t)}(x) - \mathbb{E}''_{c(t)}[u_0 \dot{f}_{c(t)}] \right\} r^{(m)}(x, t) = 0.$$

The solution is

$$r^{(m)}(x, t) = k(x) \frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}} u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_{c(t)}(x))d\mu}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{c(t)}(x))}$$



where  $k(x) = \frac{r(x)\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta(x))}{\int_\chi u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta(x))d\mu(x)}$ . Then

$${}^{(m)}\pi_\theta^{\theta'} r(x) = \frac{r(x)\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta(x)) \int_\chi u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta'})d\mu}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta'}(x)) \int_\chi u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)d\mu}.$$

□

As consequence of the two previous theorems, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** *The exponential  $\bar{\nabla}^{(1)}$  and mixture connection  $\bar{\nabla}^{(-1)}$  are curvature free.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *We assume that  $\forall x \in \chi$ ,  $\phi^{(2)}(x) > 0$ . If there exists a real constant  $k$  such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) = \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) \int_\chi u_0\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) d\mu + k,$$

then

$$(3.8) \quad E_\theta''' [\partial_i f_\theta \partial_j f_\theta \partial_k f_\theta] = \mathbb{E}_\theta'' \left[ \partial_i f_\theta \partial_k f_\theta \left( \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \partial_j f_\theta + \mathbb{E}_\theta''(u_0 \partial_j f_\theta) \right) \right].$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a smooth and bijective  $u_0$ -mapping such that  $\forall(\theta, x) \in M \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi^{(1)}(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\phi^{(2)}(x) > 0$  and  $\phi$  satisfies (3.7). Let  $(i, j, k) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \Rightarrow \quad & \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(3)}(f_\theta) = \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) \int_\chi u_0 \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) d\mu \\ & + \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) \int_\chi u_0 \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) d\mu \\ \Rightarrow \quad & \frac{\partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(3)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} = \partial_j f_\theta \int_\chi u_0 \phi^{(1)}(f_\theta) d\mu \\ & + \frac{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} \int_\chi u_0 \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) d\mu \\ \Rightarrow \quad & \frac{\partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(3)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} = \frac{\partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \\ & + \frac{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} \int_\chi u_0 \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) d\mu \\ \Rightarrow \quad & \partial_i f_\theta \partial_k f_\theta \frac{\partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(3)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} = \partial_i f_\theta \partial_k f_\theta \left[ \frac{\partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)} \right. \\ (3.9) \quad & \left. + \frac{\phi^{(1)}(f_\theta)}{\phi^{(2)}(f_\theta)} \int_\chi u_0 \partial_j f_\theta \phi^{(2)}(f_\theta) d\mu \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the expectation of (3.9), we get the relation (3.8). □

In the following theorem, we show that with a sufficient and necessary condition on  $\phi$ , the restriction to  $\mathbb{T}M$  of the family of  $\alpha$ -connections (3.4) of  $H$  is exactly the family of  $\alpha$ -connections (2.4) of  $\mathbb{T}M$  given by Rui et al.[17]. Since the geometry of  $M$  is indeed that of  $\mathbb{T}M$ , our  $\alpha$ -geometry of  $H$  is an extension of that of  $M$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** For all  $i, j$  and  $k$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\theta \in M$ ,

$$g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k\right) = \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)},$$

if and only if  $\phi$  satisfies

$$E_{\theta}''' [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] = E_{\theta}'' \left[ \partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta} \left( \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_{\theta})}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta})} \partial_j f_{\theta} + E_{\theta}''(u_0 \partial_j f_{\theta}) \right) \right],$$

where  $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}$  is defined by relation (2.4).

*Proof.* Let  $i, j$  and  $k$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k\right) &= \left\langle \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i f_{\theta}}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j f_{\theta}, \partial_k f_{\theta} \right\rangle_{\theta} \\ &= \langle \partial_i \partial_j f_{\theta}, \partial_k f_{\theta} \rangle_{\theta} - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E_{\theta}' [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta}] \langle u_0, \partial_k f_{\theta} \rangle_{\theta} \\ &\quad + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \left\langle \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_{\theta})}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta})} \partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta}, \partial_k f_{\theta} \right\rangle_{\theta} \\ &\quad - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} [E_{\theta}'' [u_0 \partial_i f_{\theta}] \langle \partial_j f_{\theta}, \partial_k f_{\theta} \rangle_{\theta}] \\ &= E_{\theta}'' [\partial_i \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E_{\theta}' [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta}] E_{\theta}'' [u_0 \partial_k f_{\theta}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} E_{\theta}'' \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_{\theta})}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta})} \partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \{E_{\theta}'' [u_0 \partial_i f_{\theta}] E_{\theta}'' [\partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $E_{\theta}'' [\partial_i \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] = \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E_{\theta}'' [\partial_i \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} E_{\theta}'' [\partial_i \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}''' [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] &= E_{\theta}'' \left[ \frac{\phi^{(2)}(f_{\theta})}{\phi^{(1)}(f_{\theta})} \partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_j f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta} \right] \\ &\quad + E_{\theta}'' [\partial_i f_{\theta} \partial_k f_{\theta}] E_{\theta}'' [u_0 \partial_j f_{\theta}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then one has  $g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k\right) = \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(1)} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(-1)} = \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Amari, *Differential-Geometrical Methods in Statistics*, Lecture Notes in Statist. 28, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [2] S. Amari, *Information Geometry and Its Applications*, Applied Mathematical Sciences Series 194, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2016.
- [3] S. Amari and M. Kumon, *Estimation in the presence of infinitely many nuisance parameters-geometry of estimating functions*, The Annals of Statistics, 16, 3 (1988), 1044-1068.
- [4] S. Amari, H. Nagaka, *Methods of Information Geometry*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs 191, 1993.

- [5] L.H.F. de Andrade, L.J. Vieira, R.F. Vigelis, C.C. Cavalcante, *Mixture and exponential arcs on generalized statistical manifold*, Entropy, 20(3) (2018), 147.
- [6] W. M. Boothby, *An introduction to Differentiable Manifolds and Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [7] M. P. Do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhauser Inc., Boston, 1992.
- [8] M. Do Carmo, *Geometria Riemaniana. Projecto Euclides*, IMPA, 2nd edition, 1988.
- [9] H. Gauchman, *Connection colligations on Hilbert bundles*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 6 (1983), 31-58.
- [10] H. Ishi, *Explicit formula of Koszul-Vinberg characteristic functions for a wide class of regular convex cones*, Entropy 18 (11), 383, 2016.
- [11] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, 1963.
- [12] S. Norbert, *General Relativity*, Graduate Texts in Physics, Springer, 2nd ed, 2013.
- [13] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 171, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2006.
- [14] C.R. Rao, *Information and accuracy attainable in the estimation of statistical parameter*, Bull. Calcutta. Math. Soc. 37 (1945), 81-91.
- [15] T. Sakai, *Riemannian Geometry*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 149, American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [16] D. de Souza, R. F. Vigelis, C. C. Cavalcante, *Geometry induced by a generalization of Renyi divergence*, Entropy 18(11) (2016), 407.
- [17] R.F. Vigelis, D. C. de Souza, C.C. Cavalcante, *New metric and connections in statistical manifolds*, Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Geometric Sci. of Information, Vol. 9389, Springer, Berlin, 2015; 222-229.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1976.

*Authors' address:*

Amour T. Gbaguidi Amoussou  
 Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques(IMSP),  
 Université d'Abomey-Calavi(UAC), Bénin.  
 E-mail: amour.gbaguidi@imsp-uac.org

Freedath Djibril Moussa  
 Faculté des Sciences et Techniques (FAST),  
 Université d'Abomey-Calavi(UAC), Bénin.  
 E-mail: freedath.djibril@imsp-uac.org

Carlos Ogouyandjou  
 Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques(IMSP),  
 Université d'Abomey-Calavi(UAC), Bénin.  
 E-mail: ogouyandjou@imsp-uac.org (corresponding author)

Mamadou Abdoul Diop  
 Université Gaston Berger, Saint-Louis, Sénégal.  
 E-mail: mamadou-abdoul.diop@ugb.edu.sn